Friday, Sept 5

Last day we proved the following (using the 'dots and dashes' idea):

Theorem The number of ways to place n indistinguishable balls in k distinguishable cells is $\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}$.

This result can be reformulated as

Theorem The number of solutions in non-negative integers x_i to the equation

$$x_1 + \dots + x_k = n \tag{1}$$

is
$$\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}$$
.

PROOF: Let x_i be the number of balls placed in the *i*:th cell above.

The solutions to (1) are called ordered partitions of n into non-negative parts.

There are three natural variations of the question answered by the above theorem, namely:

Question 1 In how many ways can n distinguishable balls be placed in k distinguishable cells?

Question 2 In how many ways can n distinguishable balls be placed in k indistinguishable cells?

Question 3 In how many ways can n indistinguishable balls be placed in k indistinguishable cells?

Question 1 can be abswered easily:

Proposition The number of ways to place n distinguishable balls into k distinguishable cells is k^n .

PROOF: There is an obvious 1-1 correspondence between such placements and all functions from the set $\{1,2,...,n\}$ to the set $\{1,2,...,k\}$. Namely,

given such a function f, we would put ball number i in the f(i):th cell, for i = 1, ..., n.

So we only need to count these functions. Well, for each i = 1, ..., n, there are k choices for f(i). So, by the multiplication principle (MP), the number of such functions is k^n , v.s.v.

Question 2 is already a lot harder.

DEFINITION: Let n, k be positive integers. The (n, k):th Stirling number of the second kind, denoted S(n, k), is the number of ways to place n distinguishable balls into k indistinguishable cells so that no cell is left empty.

REMARK: Note that S(n, k) = 0 if n < k.

There is no really nice formula for the Stirling numbers, except in some special cases, for example (see also exercises for Vecka 1 and Hemuppgift 1):

Proposition If $n \geq 2$, then $S(n,2) = 2^{n-1} - 1$.

PROOF: Call the balls 1, ..., n and the two cells I and II. Let A be the subset of $\{1, ..., n\}$ denoting which balls are placed in cell I. Then A^c denotes which balls are placed in cell II, so the only choice is for A. That neither cell is to be left empty implies that we may choose for A any subset of $\{1, ..., n\}$ other than the whole set and the empty subset. There are thus $2^n - 2$ choices for A. Finally, to get S(n,2) we have to divide this number by 2! = 2, since the two cells are indistinguishable. Hence $S(n,2) = \frac{1}{2}(2^n - 2) = 2^{n-1} - 1$, v.s.v.

The following recurrence relation is helpful for more general computations of Stirling numbers:

Theorem The Stirling numbers S(n,k) satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$S(n,1) = S(n,n) = 1, (2)$$

$$S(n,k) = S(n-1,k-1) + k \cdot S(n-1,k). \tag{3}$$

PROOF: The relations (2) are obvious, so we turn to (3). Suppose we place balls 1, ..., n into k identical cells. Let's isolate the n:th ball and consider

two possibilities:

Case 1: The n:th ball is placed in a cell on its' own. Then the remaining n-1 balls are to be placed in k-1 identical cells, so that no cell is left empty. By definition, there are S(n-1, k-1) ways to do this.

Case 2: The n:th ball is not on its' own. How many options do we have in this case? Well, first we have to place the remining n-1 balls in k cells so that no cell is left empty. There are S(n-1,k) ways to do this. Then we have k choices for where to put the n:th ball. So, by the MP, we have in total $k \cdot S(n-1,k)$ possible choices in this case.

Altogether, then, we have $S(n-1, k-1) + k \cdot S(n-1, k)$ possible ways to distribute the balls, which proves (3).

We now turn to Question 3.

DEFINITION: Let n, k be positive integers. A placement of n indistinguishable balls into k indistinguishable cells so that no cell is empty is called a (unordered) partition of n into k positive parts. The number of such partitions is denoted p(n, k).

The study of the functions p(n, k) and the related functions

$$P(n,k) := \sum_{j \le k} p(n,j),$$
$$p(n) := \sum_{k} p(n,k).$$

is a classical problem in combinatorial and analytic number theory (two branches of mathematics which you've maybe never even heard of !!). In particular, the problem of computing the function p(n), which counts the total number of partitions of the positive integer n into positive parts, has attracted a great dela of attention. This problem is now considered essentially solved. The real breakthrough came in the 1920s with the following amazing result -

Theorem (Hardy and Ramanujan)

$$p(n) \sim \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}}}{4\sqrt{3}n}.$$

I don't want to discuss partitions much in this course. Chapter 26 in Biggs is devoted to them. See also the exercises.

Monday, Sept 8

Theorem Suppose the sequence (u_n) of (complex) numbers satisfies the recurrence relation

$$au_{n+2} + bu_{n+1} + cu_n = 0, \quad \forall n > 0,$$

for some constants a, b, c. Let α, β be the roots of the quadratic equation

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0.$$

(i) If $\alpha \neq \beta$ then there exist constants K_1, K_2 such that

$$u_n = K_1 \alpha^n + K_2 \beta^n, \quad \forall \ n \ge 0.$$

(ii) If $\alpha = \beta$ then there exist constants K_1, K_2 such that

$$u_n = (K_1 + K_2 n)\alpha^n, \quad \forall \ n \ge 0.$$

I leave it as an exercise to the reader to generalise this theorem to linear recurrence relations with constant coefficients and of arbitrary degree.

A more powerful technique for attacking a wider class of recurrence relations is to use so-called *generating functions*.

DEFINITION: Let $(u_n)_0^{\infty}$ be any sequence of (complex) numbers. The generating function for the sequence (u_n) is the power series function

$$G(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n x^n. \tag{4}$$

In applying generating functions to solve recurrence relations, one often uses the following well-known identity:

$$\frac{1}{1-t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n, \quad \text{(if } |t| < 1).$$
 (5)

Let's begin by resolving a recurrence we already can solve using the theorem above, but this time using the generating function method.

Example 1: Solve the recurrence relation

$$u_0 = 3, \quad u_1 = 5,$$

 $u_n = 5u_{n-1} - 6u_{n-2}, \quad \forall n \ge 2.$

ABBREVIATED SOLUTION: Let G(x) be the generating function of the sequence (u_n) , as in (4). Observe that

$$xG(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n-1} x^n,$$

$$x^{2}G(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} u_{n-2}x^{n}.$$

Hence

$$(1 - 5x + 6x^{2})G(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (u_{n} - 5u_{n-1} + 6u_{n-2})x^{n} + (u_{0} + u_{1}x) - 5u_{0}x.$$

By the recurrence relation, the sum $\sum_{1}^{\infty} (\cdots)$ is identically zero. Hence

$$G(x) = \frac{(3+5x)-5(3x)}{1-5x+6x^2} = \frac{3-10x}{(1-2x)(1-3x)}.$$

We seek a partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{3 - 10x}{(1 - 2x)(1 - 3x)} = \frac{A}{1 - 2x} + \frac{B}{1 - 3x},$$

and one readily computes that A = 4, B = -1. Finally, using the identity (5), we have the following explicit expression for G(x) as a power series:

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (4 \cdot 2^{n} - 1 \cdot 3^{n}) x^{n}.$$

Comparing with (4) it follows that

$$u_n = 4 \cdot 2^n - 1 \cdot 3^n = 2^{n+2} - 3^n$$
, v.s.v.

Now let's continue with an example not covered by the theorem above.

EXAMPLE 2 (SEE BIGGS 25.6.2): Let q_n be the number of words of length n in the alphabet $\{a, b, c, d\}$ which contain an odd number of b:s. Find and solve a recurrence relation for q_n .

Abbreviated Solution : Let's divide the q_n allowed words of length n into two types :

- (i) those that begin with a b. Then the remaining n-1 letters form a word which is not one of the q_{n-1} words of length n-1 containing an odd number of b:s. Since (by MP) there are in total 4^{n-1} words of length n-1 in our alphabet, if follows that there are $4^{n-1}-q_{n-1}$ words of type (i).
- (ii) those that don't begin with a b. Then there are 3 choices for the first letter (a, c or d) and the remaining n-1 letters form one of the q_{n-1} words of length n-1 containing an odd number of b:s. Hence, by MP, there are $3q_{n-1}$ words of type (ii).

From the above analysis we deduce the recurrence relation

$$q_n = 4^{n-1} + 2q_{n-1}, \quad \forall \ n \ge 1.$$

By inspection, we also have the initial condition $q_0 = 0$. To solve the recurrence, we consider the generating function

$$G(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n. \tag{6}$$

We find that

$$(1-2x)G(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (q_n - 2q_{n-1})x^n + q_0x^0$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^{n-1}x^n + 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (4x)^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{4x}{1-4x}$$

$$= \frac{x}{1-4x}.$$

Hence

$$G(x) = \frac{x}{(1 - 2x)(1 - 4x)}.$$

We seek a partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{x}{(1-2x)(1-4x)} = \frac{A}{1-2x} + \frac{B}{1-4x},$$

and readily compute that $A=-\frac{1}{2},\,B=\frac{1}{2}.$ Using the identity (5) we thus obtain the explicit power series representation

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot 4^n - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n\right) x^n.$$

Comparing with (6), we must have

$$q_n = \frac{1}{2} (4^n - 2^n) .$$

Thursday, Sept 11

Our first task today is to generalise what we have previously called the *binomial theorem* and which may be stated as follows:

Let n be a positive integer and x any real number. Then

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k. \tag{7}$$

Here, $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of ways to choose k objects from n (with order unimportant) and we have the formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!}.$$
 (8)

Eq. (7) can be proved purely combinatorially, as we have seen in class. As a step toward generalising (7) we first note that, if k > n, then $\binom{n}{k} = 0$, since it is not possible in this case to choose k objects from n. This is consistent with the last formula in (8) (the first one makes no sense, since (n-k)! is not defined when n-k < 0), since one of the factors in the numerator will be zero whenever k > n. Hence, the binomial theorem can be written in the form

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k. \tag{9}$$

Then the thing to notice is that, because of the formula in (8), the HL of (9) is just the McLaurin expansion of the function $f(x) = (1+x)^n$. Recall that the McLaurin expansion of a function f(x), which is infinitely differentiable in a neighbourhood of x = 0, is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k.$$

There are some general conditions which guarantee that the McLaurin expansion of a C^{∞} -function f(x) converges pointwise to f(x) in a neighbourhood of x = 0. Since this is not a course in analysis, I don't want to go into

any details here on this matter. But note that if n is any real (indeed complex) number, not just a positive integer, then the function $f(x) = (1+x)^n$ is a C^{∞} -function in the interval |x| < 1. So the function has a McLaurin expansion, and one can prove (we don't do so) that this expansion always converges to f(x). This is the form in which we wish to generalise the binomial theorem. Let us state the result formally:

Generalised Binomial Theorem Let z be any real (indeed complex) number and x a real number such that |x| < 1. Then

$$(1+x)^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ k \end{pmatrix} x^k, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} z \\ k \end{array}\right) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{z(z-1)\cdots(z-k+1)}{k!}.$$

Later on in the lecture, we will see a cool application of this result!

DEFINITION: Let n be a non-negative integer. A Dyck path of length 2n is a path in the xy-plane from (0,0) to (2n,0) consisting of 2n steps, each of the form

$$(x,y)\mapsto (x+1,y\pm 1),$$

which in addition never goes below the x-axis.

DEFINITION: Let $n \geq 0$. The n^{th} Catalan number, denoted C_n , is defined to be the number of Dyck paths of length 2n.

Theorem 1 The Catalan numbers satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$C_0 = 1, \tag{11}$$

$$C_n = \sum_{m=1}^{n} C_{m-1} C_{n-m}, \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$
 (12)

PROOF: (11) is obvious. For (12) we observe that $C_{m-1}C_{m-n}$ is the number of Dyck paths of length 2n which first intersect the x-axis at (2m,0).

Theorem 2

$$C_n = rac{1}{n+1} \left(egin{array}{c} 2n \\ n \end{array}
ight).$$

PROOF: We work with the generating function for the sequence (C_n) , i.e.: the function

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n.$$

Using (11) and (12) we have that

$$x \cdot [F(x)]^{2} = (xF(x)) \cdot F(x) = \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m-1}x^{m}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} C_{t}x^{t}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} C_{m-1}C_{n-m}\right) x^{n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}x^{n}$$
$$= F(x) - C_{0}$$
$$= F(x) - 1,$$

i.e.:

$$x[F(x)]^2 = F(x) - 1. (13)$$

We may consider (13) as a quadratic equation for F(x), and hence there are two possible solutions, namely

$$F(x) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}.$$

Since $F(0) = C_0 = 1$, the correct solution must be to take the minus sign. We conclude that

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}.$$

To expand this in a power series, we use the generlised binomial theorem (10) for exponent z = 1/2.

$$F(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2x} \left[1 - (1 - 4x)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} {1/2 \choose n} (-4x)^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n 4^{n+1}}{2} {1/2 \choose n+1} x^n.$$

So it remains to prove that, for every integer $n \geq 0$,

$$\frac{(-1)^n 4^{n+1}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ n+1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ n+1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} - i\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1))$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdots (2n)}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)} \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!},$$

from which (14) easily follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Monday, Sept 15

NOTATION/TERMINOLOGY: Let X be a set, A and B subsets of X. The union of A and B, denoted $A \cup B$, is the subset of X consisting of those elements which lie in either A or B, i.e.:

$$A \cup B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}.$$

The intersection of A and B, denoted $A \cap B$, consists of those elements of X which lie in both A and B, i.e.:

$$A \cap B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}.$$

The set difference A minus B, denoted $A \setminus B$, consists of those elements in A which are not in B, i.e.:

$$A \backslash B \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{ x \in X : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B \}.$$

EXAMPLE: $X = \mathbf{N}$, the set of natural numbers, $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $B = \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$. Then

$$A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\},$$

 $A \cap B = \{2, 3\},$
 $A \setminus B = \{1, 4\}.$

NOTATION: If X is a finite set, then |X| shall denote the number of elements in X. If X is an infinite set we write $|X| = \infty$.

Theorem (Inclusion-Exclusion or Sieve Principle) Let X be a finite set and $A_1, ..., A_n$ be n subsets of X. Then

$$\left| X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) \right| = |X| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} |A_{i}|$$

$$+ \sum_{i \neq j} |A_{i} \cap A_{j}| - \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} |A_{i} \cap A_{j} \cap A_{k}|$$

$$+ \dots + (-1)^{n} |A_{1} \cap \dots \cap A_{n}|.$$
(15)

PROOF: Didn't bother with it. There is a proof in Biggs, Chapter 11.4 if you have the book and you're interested.

EXAMPLE: Let $n \geq 0$. A derangement of n objects is a permutation (rearrangement) of them such that no object is left in its' original position. More concretely, if we denote a permutation of the numbers 1, 2, ..., n by $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, then a derangement is a permutation such that $a_i \neq i$ for i = 1, ..., n.

The number of derangements of n objects is denoted d_n . We seek information about the sequence (d_n) . One may compute

$$d_1 = 0$$
, $d_2 = 1$, $d_3 = 2$, $d_4 = 9$,

etc. For example, the nine derangements of 1, 2, 3, 4 are

2143 2341 2413 3142 3412 3421 4123 4312 4321.

Theorem

$$d_n = n! \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\right). \tag{16}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{d_n}{n!} \to \frac{1}{e} \quad as \ n \to \infty. \tag{17}$$

PROOF: Note that (17) follows from (16) upon inserting x=-1 into the well-known McLaurin expansion for the exponential function

$$e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

To prove (16) we use the I-E principle. To simplify our notation, we'll henceforth denote the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ by [n]. Let X denote the set of all permutations of [n]. We consider the n subsets

$$A_i = \{ \pi \in X : \pi(i) = i \}, \quad i = 1, ..., n.$$

By definition,

$$d_n = \left| X ackslash igcup_{i=1}^n A_i
ight|,$$

so we may use (15). A typical term on the rhs of (15) is

$$(-1)^k \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_k} |A_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_k}|.$$

Each term in this sum just equals (n-k)!, since we are considering those permutations which leave some specified k of our n numbers fixed and permute the others arbitrarily. The number of terms in the sum is $\binom{n}{k}$. Hence the value of the sum, for a fixed k is

$$(-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \cdot (n-k)! = (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot (n-k)! = n! \times \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}.$$

Summing over k from zero to n, we obtain the HL of (16), v.s.v.

There is also a nice recurrence relation satisfied by the sequence (d_n) , namely

Theorem

$$d_1 = 0, \quad d_2 = 1,$$

$$d_n = (n-1)(d_{n-1} + d_{n-2}), \quad \forall n \ge 3.$$
(18)

PROOF: Let $n \geq 3$. We divide the derangements of [n] into two types:

- (i) those derangements π such that, if $\pi(1) = i$ then $\pi(i) = 1$. Then π must include a derangement of the numbers 2, 3, ..., i 1, i + 1, ..., n. There are d_{n-2} possibilities for this derangement and n-1 possibilities for $i = \pi(1)$. Hence there are $(n-1)d_{n-2}$ derangements of type (i).
- (ii) all other derangements. Let π be one such and let $\pi(1) = i$. If we now imagine identifying the numbers 1 and i, then we can think of π as including a derangement of the numbers 2, 3, ..., n (that i is 'moved' now means that it doesn't get sent back to 1). There are d_{n-1} possibilities for this derangement and n-1 possibilities for i, so there are $(n-1)d_{n-1}$ derangements of type (ii).

Adding, we get
$$d_n = (n-1)(d_{n-1} + d_{n-2})$$
, v.s.v.

See the Week 2 exercises for hints on how to derive (16) from (18) using exponential generating functions.