1. Weighted norm error estimates

2012 - 01 - 19

1.1. Notation. Define a mesh in the interval I = (0, 1):

(1.1)
$$0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{j-1} < x_j < \dots < x_n = 1, h_j = x_j - x_{j-1}, \quad I_j = (x_{j-1}, x_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

We define the piecewise constant mesh size function \bar{h} by

(1.2)
$$\bar{h}(x) = h_j, \quad x \in I_j,$$

and a piecewise linear mesh size function h with node values

(1.3)
$$h(x_j) = h_j + h_{j+1}, \ j = 0, \dots, n, \text{ with } h_0 = h_1, \ h_{n+1} = h_n.$$

It follows that

(1.4)
$$h(x) \ge h_j = \bar{h}(x), \quad x \in I_j.$$

It will be important to know that h is not much larger than the true mesh size \bar{h} . Then we must put some restriction on the variation of the mesh. We define the mesh ratios

(1.5)
$$r_j = \frac{h_{j+1}}{h_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We assume that there is $\gamma \geq 1$ such that

(1.6)
$$r_j \in [\gamma^{-1}, \gamma], \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Of course, this is trivially true for a single mesh, but it is important to understand that we assume that this holds for all meshes in the mesh family that we consider. This could be a finite family of a single mesh or a few meshes, but usually an infinite family of successively refined meshes with $h_{\max} \to 0$. We may also think of γ as a parameter which gives a quantitative measure of the quality of the mesh family (for a finite or an infinite mesh family). This is a rather weak restriction. It allows for example a geometrically graded mesh family: $h_{j+1} = \gamma h_j = \cdots = \gamma^j h_0$, where $h_0 \to 0$, so that $h_{\max} = h_n = \gamma^{n-1} h_0 \to 0$. If $\gamma = 1$, then the family is uniform, i.e., $h_j = h_{\max}$ and $h = 2\bar{h}$.

In general we have

(1.7)
$$h(x) = (h_j + h_{j+1})\phi_{j-1}(x) + (h_{j-1} + h_j)\phi_j(x)$$
$$= (h_j + h_{j+1})\frac{x - x_{j-1}}{h_j} + (h_{j-1} + h_j)\frac{x_j - x}{h_j}$$
$$= h_j \Big((1 + r_j)\frac{x - x_{j-1}}{h_j} + (r_{j-1}^{-1} + 1)\frac{x_j - x}{h_j} \Big), \quad x \in I_j,$$

and it follows that

(1.8)
$$\bar{h}(x) \le h(x) \le (1+a)\bar{h}(x).$$

Similarly

(1.9)
$$h'(x) = r_j - r_{j-1}^{-1}, \quad x \in I_j,$$

so that

(1.10)
$$||h'||_{L_{\infty}} \le a - a^{-1} \to 0 \text{ as } a \to 1^+.$$

1.2. An interpolation error estimate. Let

$$S = \{ v \in C([0,1]) : v |_{I_j} \in \Pi_1, \ v(0) = v(1) = 0 \}$$

and the interpolator $I_S \colon C([0,1]) \to S$ with

$$v_I(x) = (I_S v)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v(x_j)\phi_j(x)$$

We introduce the L_2 -norm and the piecewise L_2 -norm:

(1.11)
$$\|v\| = \|v\|_{L_2(I)} = \left(\int_0^1 v^2 \, dx\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\|v\|_{PW} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|v\|_{L_2(I_j)}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

We recall the local interpolation error estimate, see the proof of (0.4.5) in Brenner-Scott, for $v \in H^2$ with v(0) = v(1) = 0,

(1.12)
$$\|(v-v_I)'\|_{L_2(I_j)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}h_j^2 \|v''\|_{L_2(I_j)}^2.$$

Together with (1.4) this immediately implies the following two estimates in global weighted norms

(1.13)
$$\|(v - v_I)'\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|hv''\|_{\rm PW}$$

(1.14)
$$||h^{-1}(v-v_I)'|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ||v''||_{\mathrm{PW}}.$$

Of course these hold equally well with h replaced by \bar{h} .

1.3. Energy norm error estimate. Consider the BVP:

$$-(au')' = f$$
 in $I;$ $u(0) = u(1) = 0.$

Let $V = H_0^1 = H_0^1(I)$. The weak formulation is

(1.15)
$$u \in V; \quad (au', v') = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in V,$$

and the FEM

(1.16)
$$u_S \in S; \quad (u'_S, v') = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in S.$$

Then, since $S \subset V$, we have the Galerkin orthogonality

$$(1.17) (a(u_S - u)', v') = 0 \quad \forall v \in S.$$

and, by (1.13),

(1.18)
$$\|\sqrt{a}(u_S - u)'\| = \inf_{v \in S} \|\sqrt{a}(v - u)'\| \le \|a\|_{L_{\infty}}^{1/2} \|(u_I - u)'\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|a\|_{L_{\infty}}^{1/2} \|hu''\|,$$

if $f \in L_2$ so that $u'' \in L_2$. Since $\sqrt{a_0} ||(u_S - u)'|| \le ||\sqrt{a}(u_S - u)'||$, we conclude

(1.19)
$$\|(u_S - u)'\| \le C \|hu''\| = C \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j^2 \|u''\|_{L_2(I_j)}^2\Big)^{1/2}$$

$$(1.20) \qquad \leq Ch_{\max} \|u''\|,$$

with $C = (||a||_{L_{\infty}}/(2a_0))^{1/2}$. This also holds with h replaced by \bar{h} . Note that the weighted expression on the right of (1.19) contains more information than (1.20). We want to prove a similar weighted error estimate for the L_2 -norm of the error.

1.4. L_2 -norm error estimate. We argue by duality. Let $e = u_S - u$. We use the dual problem

(1.21)
$$w \in V; \quad (av', w') = (v, e) \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Then, by taking v = e and using (1.17), (1.14) and $||w''|| \le C ||e||$,

(1.22)
$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|^2 &= (ae', w') = (e', w' - w_I') \le \|\sqrt{a}he'\| \|\sqrt{a}h^{-1}(w - w_I)'\| \\ &\le C\|he'\| \|h^{-1}(w - w_I)'\| \le C\|he'\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|w''\| \le C\|he'\|\|e\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

(1.23)
$$||e|| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ||he'||.$$

If this calculation is done with h replaced by \bar{h} then with (1.20) we obtain the standard (non-weighted) estimate

(1.24)
$$||u_S - u|| \le Ch_{\max}^2 ||u''||.$$

Note: no restriction on the mesh so far.

We shall prove that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\gamma \ge 1$ such that

(1.25)
$$||he'|| \le C ||h^2 u''|| + \epsilon ||e||,$$

that is, for $||h'||_{L_{\infty}}$ is sufficiently small (see (1.10)), With (1.23) this leads to the weighted estimate

$$(1.26) ||u_S - u|| \le C ||h^2 u''||$$

In view of (1.8) this also holds with h replaced by \bar{h} (but with a larger constant C). To prove (1.25) we first note that

(1.27)
$$\|he'\|^2 \le C(ahe', he') = C(ae', h^2e') = (ae', (h^2e)') - (2ahh'e', e).$$

Note: $h \in H^1$ but $\bar{h} \notin H^1$, so we cannot use \bar{h} here. Recalling (1.10), that is,

(1.28)
$$||h'||_{L_{\infty}} \leq M := \gamma - \gamma^{-1},$$

we get for the last term

(1.29)
$$|(2ahh'e', e)| \le CM ||he'|| ||e||.$$

For the first term on the right side of (1.27) we get with $v = h^2 e$, by (1.17), (1.14),

(1.30)
$$(ae', (h^2e)') = (ae', v') = (ae', v' - v_I') \le C ||he'|| ||h^{-1}(v - v_I)'||$$
$$\le C ||he'|| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ||v''||_{PW} = C ||he'|| ||(h^2e)''||_{PW}.$$

On I_j we have

(1.31)
$$(h^2 e)'' = h^2 e'' + 4hh' e' + (2(h')^2 + 2hh'')e = h^2 u'' + 4hh' e' + 2(h')^2 e,$$

because $e'' = u''_S - u'' = -u''$ and h'' = 0. Hence

(1.32)
$$\|(h^2 e)''\|_{\rm PW} \le \|h^2 u''\| + 4M\|he'\| + 2M^2\|e\|.$$

Inserting this and (1.29) into (1.27) and dividing by ||he'|| we get

(1.33)
$$\|he'\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|h^2 u''\| + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} M \|he'\| + 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}M^2 + M)\|e\|,$$

which implies (1.25) if M is sufficiently small.

We now have

- (1.34) $||(u_S u)'|| \le C ||hu''|| \le Ch_{\max} ||u''||$ for any $\gamma \ge 1$,
- (1.35) $||u_S u|| \le C ||h^2 u''|| \le C h_{\max}^2 ||u''||$ if γ is close to 1.

1.5. Remark. From the Poincaré inequality

(1.36) $||v|| \le C ||v'||, \quad v \in H_0^1$

and (1.34) there follows the L_2 -norm estimate

(1.37) $||u_S - u|| \le C ||(u_S - u)'|| \le C ||hu''|| \le Ch_{\max} ||u''||$ for any $\gamma \ge 1$.

Why is this not sufficient? This is because the rate of convergence is not the optimal one for the L_2 -norm of the error. If u has two derivatives then we expect that the error in u_S is $O(h_{\max}^2)$ and that the error in u'_S is $O(h_{\max})$ as $h_{\max} \to 0$.